

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/835,732

STUART R HEMPHILL

220 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

DORSEY & WHITNEY

04/11/97

KLEIN

D

6049

MM22/1028 7

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED:

10/28/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/835,732

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Klein

Dung Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2871



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 22, 1999	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗖 disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been	
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
\square received in this national stage application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Note:	s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Serial Number: 08/835,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

1. The request filed on 09/22/99 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/835,732 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-3, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malhi, US Patent No. 5,844,773.

The above claims are anticipated by Malhi's figure 4 and accompanying text, which together disclose an LCD device and method for conducting light to such device comprising:

- a LCD housing (22, 80) functions as a light pipe for conducting light from a light source (85) to the LCD (50) and protects the LCD (col.3, ln. 20+);
 - the LCD housing includes a reflectively coated outer surface (col. 4, ln.22-23);
- the LCD housing also includes an inner surface and the LCD (26) is adjacent to such surface with a gap in between for the diffuser layer (80) to conduct light out of the LCD housing and to the LCD.

Serial Number: 08/835,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Malhi, US Patent No. 5,844,773...

Regarding the above claims, Malhi discloses the claimed invention except for the

reflectively coated surface being made of a metal capable of attenuating EMI emissions. It is

notoriously well known in the art to use a metal layer as a light reflector, and such metal layer

can also act as an EMI shield. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the invention to use a metal layer as the reflective and EMI-shielding surface

because:

- the use of a metal layer such as aluminum, chromium, or nickel as a reflective layer in an

illumination apparatus for a LCD is notoriously well know;

- Consumer desire for higher computing power of laptops, coupled with the advent of faster

computer processors available, result in an increase in electromagnetic interference being

generated by such laptops; therefore, the reflective metal layer, due to its conductive property,

can also act as an EMI shield to attenuate EMI leaking out of the laptops; furthermore, it can also

Serial Number: 08/835,732

Art Unit: 2871

act as a heat sink to reduce the increasing heat being associated with faster processors and

computer electronics in the laptops.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of

rejection.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 308-7726.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN

October 22, 1999

William L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Group 2871